

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Part 2: "Surfer Speed vs. Wave Speed and Peel Angle"

by Larry Goddard
August 14, 2010

Part 1 was a tutorial on the Physics of Motion and the relationship between Work and Energy. Therefore, Part 1 was written to lay the groundwork for understanding Part 2. Maybe boring for some readers, mind-numbing for others, but necessary for most people, I think. If you digested the material covered in Part 1, then you are ready for Part 2. Thanks for your patience!

Part 2 is where 'the rubber hits the road', and we find answers to questions about "Maximum Surfer Speed on a Wave."

Part 1 also tried to answer the question: "How fast IS the surfer going on a wave?"
Part 2 will try to answer the question: "How fast CAN a surfer go on a wave?"

A surfer on a wave is constantly managing his Energy budget, trading the Potential Energy that he obtained by going up high on the wave, for more Kinetic Energy and therefore higher speed when he needs it, down lower on the wave.

A roller coaster does exactly the same thing. The cars and riders are mechanically lifted to the top of the first, and highest, rise of the tracks. The amount of Potential Energy obtained at the top is exactly identical to the amount of Work that was performed in lifting the combined Weight of the cars and riders up to that Height above their starting point at ground level.

Then, the cars are released and are free to roll downhill, gaining Speed and Kinetic Energy all the way down to the bottom. If there were no drag or friction, the Kinetic Energy at the bottom would be identical to the Potential Energy they started out with at the top. $KE = PE$.

Because of the total drag (due to friction and air drag) though, their total Energy budget is slowly eroding, and so each succeeding hill is less high, until finally, the cars are brought in to the loading station and brought to a stop with braking action.

When you are sitting in the surf line-up, waiting for the next set, you are starting out in a position, (on average), at Sea Level, where your Potential Energy and Kinetic Energy are both zero.

Then, as the wave you want approaches, it starts lifting you and your board up towards the top of the wave. If it lifts you all the way up to the top of wave, just as you are about to catch it by paddling fast enough for you to start sliding downhill and then match the wave speed, you have now gained Energy of Position, that is, "Potential Energy", AND, by matching the wave's speed, you have now ALSO gained Energy of Motion, that is, "Kinetic Energy". This combination of PE and KE is your Total Energy Budget.

If you don't paddle for the wave, then the only Energy you have at the top of the wave is PE. But if you DO paddle for the wave, then when you match the wave speed and can stand up, you have gained the energy of motion due to the Wave Speed. I'll call that "KEwave". Just remember: that's YOUR Kinetic Energy when moving at the Wave Speed.

50 So, at the top of the wave, just as you match the wave speed, but just BEFORE you make the drop, we
51 can say that:

52
53 Total Energy, or $KE_{total} = PE + KE_{wave}$
54

55 Remember: PE is the WORK that the wave performs by lifting you and your board up to the top of the
56 wave, that is, $PE = WORK = Weight \times Height = W \times H$,
57 where W is the total Weight lifted, and H is the Height lifted to, i.e., the Wave Height.

58
59 When you make the drop, but just before you turn, you trade your Potential Energy at the top of the wave
60 for additional Kinetic Energy at the bottom of your drop. At that point, you are moving faster than the
61 Wave Speed. If you could make it all the way to the Bottom of the wave before you turn, you would have
62 $KE = PE$. Let's call that Kinetic Energy obtained from making the drop, "KEdrop."

63
64 Now, our Total Energy, $KE_{total} = KE_{wave} + KE_{drop}$
65

66 I'm going to relabel the Total Energy as the "Surfer Energy", since that's his Total Energy Budget, and is
67 what gives him the ability to go fast across the wave, or race fast sections, or just climb back up high and
68 bang off the lip, or be set up for the next maneuver.

69
70 So, now we have: $KE_{surfer} = KE_{wave} + KE_{drop}$
71

72 The formula for Kinetic Energy is $(1/2) \times (W/g) \times V^2$, or $KE = (W/2g) \times V^2$
73

74 Note that KE varies with the SQUARE of the Velocity of the moving body.
75

76 So,

77 For a surfer moving at full trim speed across a wave, $KE_{surfer} = (W/2g) \times (V_{surfer})^2$
78

79 For a surfer at the top of a wave, moving at Wave Speed, $KE_{wave} = (W/2g) \times (V_{wave})^2$
80

81 For a surfer who just dropped in, about to make his turn, $KE_{drop} = PE = W \times H$
82

83
84 So, if $KE_{surfer} = KE_{wave} + KE_{drop}$,
85

86 Then, $(W/2g) \times (V_{surfer})^2 = (W/2g) \times (V_{wave})^2 + W \times H$
87

88 Solving for $(V_{surfer})^2$, we get:
89

90 $(V_{surfer})^2 = [(W/2g) \times (V_{wave})^2 + W \times H] / (W/2g)$

91 Or,

92 $(V_{surfer})^2 = [(W/2g) \times (V_{wave})^2 + W \times H] \times (2g)/W$
93

94 Cancelling the W and the 2g terms, we get:
95

96 $(V_{surfer})^2 = [(V_{wave})^2 + 2gH]$
97

98 Remember that, in a right triangle, c squared = a squared + b squared,

99 Or, $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$
100

101 So,
102 In the above result, it looks like we have the SQUARE of the hypotenuse of a right triangle, represented
103 by the SQUARE of the surfer's speed "Vsurfer", with the SQUARE of one side of the triangle represented
104 by the SQUARE of the Wave Speed, "Vwave"; and the SQUARE of the other side of the triangle
105 represented by the SQUARE of the speed of the curl as measured at right angles to the wave speed,
106 given by its equivalent squared value, "2gH".

107
108 So, we see that $(V_{curl})^2 = 2gH$

109
110 Now, let's rewrite this solution for Surfer Speed, using the formula for Wave Speed that was given in Part
111 1 of this document, where:

112
113 $V_{wave} = \text{SQRT}(g*d)$,

114 Or,

115 $V_{wave} = (g*d)^{0.5}$

116

117 Then, $(V_{wave})^2 = g*d$,

118

119 But, if we use a Breaker Depth Index value of 1.28, then: $d / H_b = 1.28$,

120 So, $d = 1.28$ times H_b , or: $d = 1.28 * H_b$ where "Hb" is the Breaking Wave Height.

121

122 Now, we have: $(V_{wave})^2 = 1.28 * g * H_b$

123

124 Substituting these in the Surfer Speed formula, we know have:

125

126 $(V_{surfer})^2 = (V_{wave})^2 + (V_{curl})^2$

127

128 So,,

129 $(V_{surfer})^2 = 1.28 * g * H_b + 2 * g * H_b$

130 $(V_{surfer})^2 = (1.28+2) * (g * H_b)$

131 $(V_{surfer})^2 = 3.28 * (g * H_b)$

132

133 So, now we have the formula for Maximum Surfer Speed:

134 $V_{surfer} = \text{SQRT}(3.28 * g * H_b)$

135

136 But look! We can compare this Maximum Surfer Speed to the Wave Speed:

137

138 The ratio of $(V_{surfer})^2 / (V_{wave})^2 = (3.28 * g * H_b) / (1.28 * g * H_b)$

139 $= (3.28 / 1.28)$

140 $= 2.5625$

141

142 So, $V_{surfer} / V_{wave} = \text{SQRT}(2.5625) = 1.600781059$

143

144 It looks like the fastest you could go on any wave is about 1.6 times as fast as the Wave Speed!

145

146 Remember that in Part 1 we found that $\text{Cos } B = (V_{wave} / V_{surfer})?$

147 That is just the reciprocal of the above ratio of Surfer Speed to Wave Speed.

148 So,

149 $\text{Cos } B = \text{SQRT}(1.28 / 3.28) = \text{SQRT}(0.390243902) = 0.624695048$

150

151 Then,

152 The Break Angle B is the ANGLE whose Cosine = 0.624695048),

153 Or,

154 $B = \text{ArcCosine}(0.624695048)$

155 $B = 51.34019175 \text{ Degrees}$

156

157 This is for a surfer cruising in a straight line across a wave at his highest trim speed. If he stays up high
158 on the wave where the highest speed is possible, he would be able to dive lower to gain some additional
159 speed going through a short fast section up ahead, and maybe be able to make it out the other side.

160

161 Generalizing in regards to the Breaker Depth Index, BDI, it would appear that the highest surfer speed
162 relative to wave speed is proportional to the square root of the ratio
163 $(BDI + 2) / BDI$

164

165 So,

166 $\text{Max Surfer Speed} / \text{Wave Speed} = \text{SQRT}[(BDI + 2) / BDI]$

167 And,

168 $\text{Maximum Makeable Break or Peel Angle} = \text{ArcCosine}[BDI / (BDI + 2)]$

169

170

171 So far, we've only determined the RATIOS of speeds involved in surfing a wave. Let's see what kind of
172 ACTUAL SPEEDS are therefore possible on waves of given heights.

173

174 For Makaha Point Break, where the 25 ft waves peel off pretty fast on WNW swells, the Acceleration of
175 Gravity at the Latitude of the Point Line-up is about $32.110407 \text{ ft/sec}^2$.

176

177 I'll use the rounded off value of 32.11 f/s^2 , because I got the Latitude from Google Earth aerial photos
178 and had to interpolate to estimate the position of the reef block where the line-up is located. I am very
179 familiar with that reef, because I snorkelled out there often for 15 years. It should be accurate to within 10
180 ft or 3 meters.

181

182 If the water depth where 25 ft waves break is 1.28 times the breaking wave height, H_b , then the depth $d =$
183 $1.28 * 25 = 32 \text{ ft}$.

184

185 Then, the Wave Speed in 32 ft of water, $V_{\text{wave}} = \text{SQRT}(g * d) = \text{SQRT}(32.11 * 32)$

186 So,

187 $V_{\text{wave, fps}} = 32.05495282 \text{ fps}$

188 And,

189 $V_{\text{wave, mph}} = (15/22) * V_{\text{wave, fps}} = 21.85564965 \text{ MPH}$

190

191 If Maximum Makeable Break Angle = $51.34019175 \text{ degrees}$,

192 Then, Maximum Surfer Speed = $\text{SQRT}(3.28/1.28)$ times Wave Speed = $1.600781059x V_{\text{wave}}$

193 So,

194 $\text{Max } V_{\text{surfer}} = 1.600781059 x V_{\text{wave}} = 51.31296133 \text{ ft/sec} = 34.98610999 \text{ MPH}$

195

196 If the ride is 400 yards = 1200 feet, the duration time of the ride = $1200 / V_{\text{surfer}}$

197 So, $T_{\text{ride}} = 1200 \text{ ft} / 51.31296133 \text{ fps} = 23.38590424 \text{ seconds}$.

198

199 Buzzy Trent did it in 24 seconds...I never saw anybody do it in 23 seconds.

200

201 For more Northern Latitudes like, say that of San Francisco, where $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$,

202 Or, $g = 32.155223097 \text{ f/s}^2$,

203 Then,

204 $V_{\text{wave}} = 32.07602518 \text{ ft/sec}$, so $\text{Max } V_{\text{surfer}} = 51.34669356 \text{ fps}$.

205 Then, Maximum Surfer Speed = 35.00910925 MPH.

206

207 Thus, it looks like V_{max} for 25 ft waves is around 35 MPH.

208

209 Now, for a general formula for calculating Surfer Speed, given Wave Height:

210

211 Maximum Surfer Speed seems to be proportional to the SQUARE ROOT of the Breaking Wave Height,
212 so, at the latitude of Makaha down to the South Shore (Ala Moana Bowls, and Waikiki in general), where
213 $g = 32.11 \text{ f/s}^2$, the Maximum Surfer Speed for a 1 foot wave scales down to about 1/5th as fast as for
214 that of a 25 ft wave, or about 1/5th of 35 MPH. Therefore, we could use a general formula for Hawaiian
215 waters up to Northern California surf spots, that says:

216

217 Maximum Surfer Speed, MPH = $7 \cdot \text{SQUARE ROOT of } (H_b, \text{ft})$

218 Or,

219 Maximum Surfer Speed, fps = $10.26666666 \cdot \text{SQUARE ROOT of } (H_b, \text{ft})$

220

221 Note:

222 The exact value of "g" required to produce the above values of 7 MPH EXACTLY for my Maximum Surfer
223 Speed, if we use a Breaker Depth Index of exactly 1.28, is $32.1355013550\dots$, etc. ft/sec^2 , where the
224 "13550" is a repeating decimal.

225

226 So, the exact decimal fraction is $13550/99999$, and the total value of g is therefore EXACTLY given by:
227 $99999 \cdot (32 + 13550/99999) = 3213518 / 99999$.

228

229 The equivalent exact value of g in the metric measure is (" g " in ft/sec^2) * 0.3048

230 Or, $g = 9.79490081301 \text{ m/s}^2$.

231 Then,

232 Using the "Solver" function in my TI-85 graphics calculator, and the formula for g that I described in "Part
233 1" of this "Surfer Speed" document, I get the following result for the Equivalent Surf Spot Latitude for my
234 basic formula of Surfer Speed:

235

236 Exact Latitude = 32.07066048 degrees.

237

238 Only then, is Max Surfer Speed, mph = $7 \cdot \text{SQRT}(H_b, \text{ft})$, EXACTLY.

239

240 So, if you want to ride a 49-ft wave, you can get up 49 MPH. The Tow-in guys are already doing that, I
241 suppose.

242

243 But, do you want to ride a 100-foot wave? Your fastest ride might have to be up to 70 MPH. Can you still
244 stand up? You can train by standing on top of a car on the freeway going 70 MPH. Ha!

245

246 At those high speeds, wind drag would become significant, limiting the top speed achievable by a stand-
247 up surfer. So, it's likely they would not be able to make it across if the Peel Angle is such that they would
248 have to go 70mph to make it.

249

250 Now,

251 For all you surfers around the world who use the Metric system:

252

253 25 feet x 0.3048 = 7.62 meters

254

255 For Hb = 1 foot, the basic factor in my Surfer Speed formula is 7 MPH, and the equivalent factors used for
256 other measurements of speed are:
257 7 MPH x 22/15 = 10.266666666 f/s,
258 10.266666666 x .3048 = 3.12928 m/s,
259 3.12928 x 3600 seconds = 11265.408 m/hour, /1000 = 11.265408 Km/hr,
260 11.265408 / 1.852 = 6.082833693 Nautical Miles per Hour ("Knots")

261
262 But, we want a formula in metric units that has the basic Breaking Wave Height of 1 Meter, instead of 1
263 foot.

264 1 meter, in feet, is 1/0.3048 = 3.280839895 ft, so my formulas using MPH and Vfps need to be scaled up
265 by a factor of the SQUARE ROOT of (3.280839895 / 1) = 1.81130889 times.

266
267 So, when using MPH, Vsurfer, mph = 7 * SQRT(Hb, ft),
268 but now, Hb = 3.280839895 ft.

269
270 Then, Vsurfer, mph = 7 * SQRT(3.280839895) = 12.67916223 MPH

271
272 And, since Vft/s = (22/15) times Vmph,
273 Then, Vft/s = (22/15) * 12.67916223 MPH = 18.5961046 f/s

274
275
276 Since Vm/s = 0.3048 * Vft/s,
277 Vm/s = 0.3048 * 18.5961046 f/s = 5.668092683 m/s

278
279
280 So,
281 Our basic Metric Unit formula for Maximum Surfer Speed, in meters per second, is:

282
283 Vsurfer, m/s = 5.668092683 * SQRT(Hb, m)

284
285
286 In 1 hour, or 3600 seconds, the distance in meters travelled = 3600 * Vm/s
287 So, Distance, m = 3600 * 5.668092683 m/s = 20,405.13366 meters,
288 or, Distance in Kilometers, = m/1000 = 20.40513366 KM

289
290 So,
291 Vsurfer, km/hr = 20.40513366 * SQRT(Hb, m)

292
293
294 The speed in Nautical Miles per Hour, or "Knots", is Vkm/hr / 1.852
295 Or, Vkts = 20.40513366 / 1.852 = 11.01789075 Kts

296
297 So,
298 Vsurfer, kts = 11.01789075 * SQRT(Hb, m)

299
300 -----
301 Let's try some examples:

302
303 For a 2-meter (true height) breaking wave, how fast could a surfer go on a fast section?
304 Vsurfer, km/hr = 20.40513366 * SQUAREROOT (2) = 28.85721676 Km/hr

305

Part 2: "Surfer Speed vs. Wave Speed and Peel Angle"

On the Internet at <http://www.rodndtube.com/surf/info/WaveRidersPage.shtml>

306 So,
307 For a 2-meter wave, $V_{\text{surfer, km/hr}} = 20.40513366 * \text{SQRT}(2) = 28.85721676$
308
309 For a 3-meter wave, $V_{\text{surfer, km/hr}} = 20.40513366 * \text{SQRT}(3) = 35.34272823$
310
311 For a 4-meter wave, $V_{\text{surfer, km/hr}} = 20.40513366 * \text{SQRT}(4) = 40.81026732$
312

313
314
315 This concludes Part 2
316

317
318 If you read all of Part 1 and Part 2, Thanks for your patience! I hope somebody tests these results with
319 GPS devices or radar guns. It will be interesting to see just "How Fast CAN a Surfer Go?" in real life.
320

321 Larry Goddard
322

323 Submit a Google Moderator question or comment at:
324 <http://www.google.com/moderator/#15/e=21f8f&t=21f8f.40>
325